arXiv:1507.05719v2 [math.OA] 18 Aug 2016

# ON THE UNIQUENESS OF THE LEBESGUE DECOMPOSITION OF NORMAL STATES

ZSIGMOND TARCSAY AND TAMÁS TITKOS

Abstract. The non-commutative theory of the Lebesgue-type decomposition of positive functionals is originated with S. P. Gudder. Although H. Kosaki's counterexample shows that the decomposition is not unique in general, the complete characterization of uniqueness is still not known.

Using the famous operator-decomposition of T. Ando, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for uniqueness in the particular case when the underlying algebra is $\mathrm{B}(H)$, the $C^*$-algebra of all continuous linear operators on a Hilbert space $H$. Namely, given a normal state $f$, the $f$-Lebesgue decomposition of any other normal state is unique if and only if the representing trace class operator of $f$ has finite rank.

Some recent results tell that the decomposition is unique over a large class of commutative algebras. Our characterization demonstrates that the lack of commutativity is not the real cause of non-uniqueness.

## Introduction

An important noncommutative generalization of the classical Lebesgue decomposition is originated with S. P. Gudder [3]. He proved that any positive functional on a complex unital Banach $^*$-algebra admits a Lebesgue decomposition with respect to any other positive functional (on the same algebra). His result has been recently extended to representable functionals on any $^*$-algebra [15], and to representable forms on a complex algebra [12]. However, Gudder mentioned that he has not been able to prove the unicity of the corresponding decomposition. Few years later H. Kosaki [6] provided a counterexample demonstrating that such a decomposition does not need to be unique, not even considering normal states on a von Neumann algebra.

Nevertheless, Kosaki's example does not give any criterion for deciding whether the Lebesgue decomposition of a given normal state relative to another is unique or not. The main goal of this note is to provide a complete solution to this problem among normal states of the von Neumann algebra $\mathrm{B}(H)$. The two cornerstones of our approach are: Theorem 1.4 below describing the very close connection between the corresponding absolute

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L30, 46L51.

*Key words and phrases.* Lebesgue decomposition, absolute continuity, singularity, normal state, trace class operator, positive operator.

continuity and singularity concepts for normal functionals and their representing operators; and T. Ando's result [1, Theorem 6] characterizing the uniqueness of the Lebesgue decomposition among bounded positive operators.

Let us start by recalling some basic definitions of non-commutative Lebesgue decomposition theory, developed by Gudder [3] (for the more general setting of $^*$-algebras the reader is referred to [15]). Suppose we are given a unital Banach $^*$-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ and a positive functional $f$ on it. Positivity here is understood to mean that $f(a^*a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in \mathscr{A}$. Given another positive functional $g$ on $\mathscr{A}$, following the terminology of Gudder [3], we say that $g$ is *$f$-dominated* if there exists $M \geq 0$ such that $g(a^*a) \leq Mf(a^*a)$ for all $a \in \mathscr{A}$. Furthermore, $g$ is called *$f$-closable* (or strongly *$f$-absolutely continuous*, see [3]) if for any sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathscr{A}$

$$f(a_n^*a_n) \to 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad g((a_n - a_m)^*(a_n - a_m)) \to 0$$

imply $g(a_n^*a_n) \to 0$. Finally, $f$ and $g$ are called *mutually singular* if for any positive functional $h$ on $\mathscr{A}$, $h \leq f$ and $h \leq g$ imply $h = 0$. We mention here that Gudder [3] used a non-symmetric singularity concept, called semi-singularity. Because of equivalency (see [16, Theorem 5.2]), we use the symmetric one instead. A Lebesgue type decomposition of $g$ relative to $f$ is a pair $(g_0, g_1)$ of positive functionals such that $g = g_0 + g_1$, where $g_0$ is $f$-closable and $g_1$ is $f$-singular. Such a decomposition always exists, according to [3, Corollary 3]. Moreover, in view of [15, Theorem 3.3], there is a Lebesgue decomposition $(g_r, g_s)$ which is extremal in the sense that $h \leq g_r$ holds for any $f$-closable positive functional $h$ with $h \leq g$. Here, $g_r$ is called the *$f$-regular part* of $g$.

The word "closable" refers to the fact that a functional $g$ is $f$-closable precisely when considering the GNS-triplets $(H_f, \pi_f, \zeta_f)$ and $(H_g, \pi_g, \zeta_g)$, the correspondence $\pi_f(a)\zeta_f \mapsto \pi_g(a)\zeta_g$ defines a closable linear operator between $H_f$ and $H_g$ (cf. [15]). One major advantage of this observation is that one can apply unbounded operator techniques to treat the Lebesgue decomposition and the Radon–Nikodym type theory, see eg. [2, 10, 14, 15]. In the present paper we use an equivalent for the property of $f$-closability, namely the notion of "almost domination" as follows: the positive functional $g$ is *almost dominated* by $f$ (in notion, $g \ll f$) if $g$ is the pointwise limit of a sequence $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of positive functionals possessing the properties $g_n \leq g_{n+1} \leq g$, and $g_n \leq c_n f$ for some $c_n \geq 0$. The equivalence of these concepts can be proved using to the notion of *parallel sum* of two positive functionals ([16, Theorem 5.1] and due to [4, Theorem 3.8]; see also [13, Theorem 2.15]).

## 1. CLOSABILITY AND SINGULARITY OF POSITIVE FUNCTIONALS ON $\mathrm{B}(H)$

Given a complex Hilbert space $H$, we denote by $\mathrm{B}(H)$ the $C^*$-algebra of continuous linear operators on $H$, and by $\mathrm{B}_2(H), \mathrm{B}_1(H)$ and $\mathscr{B}_F(H)$ the ideals of Hilbert–Schmidt, trace class, and continuous finite rank operators in $\mathrm{B}(H)$. Recall that $\mathrm{B}_2(H)$ is a complete Hilbert algebra with respect to the scalar product

$$(S \,|\, T)_2 = \mathrm{Tr}(T^*S) = \sum_{e\in E} (Se \,|\, Te), \qquad S, T \in \mathrm{B}_2(H), \tag{1.1}$$

where Tr refers to the the trace functional and $E$ is any orthonormal basis in $H$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{B}_1(H)$ is a Banach $^*$-algebra under the norm $\|A\|_1 := \mathrm{Tr}(|A|)$. It is also well known that $\mathscr{B}_F(H)$ is dense in both $\mathrm{B}_2(H)$ and $\mathrm{B}_1(H)$, with respect to the norms $\|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$, respectively. Recall also that $A \in \mathrm{B}_1(H)$ holds if and only if $A$ is the product of two Hilbert–Schmidt operators. For further basic properties of Hilbert-Schmidt and trace class operators we refer the reader to [5, 7, 8, 9].

For any $T \in \mathrm{B}_1(H)$ we set

$$f_T(A) := \mathrm{Tr}(AT) = \mathrm{Tr}(TA), \qquad A \in \mathrm{B}(H), \tag{1.2}$$

which defines a continuous linear functional on $\mathrm{B}(H)$ due to inequality

$$|\mathrm{Tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|\|T\|_1. \tag{1.3}$$

Functionals of this type are called *normal functionals.*

It is not difficult to prove that $f_T$ is positive if and only if $T$ is positive: Indeed, if $T \geq 0$ then

$$\mathrm{Tr}(A^*AT) = \|AT^{1/2}\|_2^2 \geq 0,$$

whence $f_T$ is positive. Conversely, if $f_T$ is a positive functional and $e \in H$ is any unit vector, then

$$(Te \,|\, e) = \mathrm{Tr}(PT) = f_T(P^*P) \geq 0,$$

where $P$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto the one-dimensional subspace spanned by $e$. Hence $T \geq 0$. From this fact one concludes that the mapping $T \mapsto f_T$ is order preserving between positive trace class operators and normal positive functionals.

Before establishing the statement we are going to prove first two lemmas which may be interesting on their own right. Both results are essentially based on [11, Theorem 5.6] concerning positive extendibility of linear functionals, defined on a left ideal of a Banach $^*$-algebra.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let $f$ be a normal positive functional on* $\mathrm{B}(H)$ *and denote by $\varphi$ the restriction of $f$ to the ideal $\mathscr{B}_F(H)$ of finite rank operators. Then $f$ is the smallest among all positive functionals extending $\varphi$. (In the language of* [11]*, $f$ equals the Krein-von Neumann extension $\varphi_N$ of $\varphi$.)*

*Proof.* By [11, Theorem 5.6] there exists a positive functional $\varphi_N$ on $\mathrm{B}(H)$ extending $\varphi$ such that $\varphi_N \leq \widetilde{\varphi}$ for any positive extension $\widetilde{\varphi}$ of $\varphi$ to $\mathrm{B}(H)$. It suffices therefore to show that $f_T = \varphi_N$. As $f_T - \varphi_N \geq 0$ due to the minimality of $\varphi_N$, that will follow by showing that $\varphi_N(I) \geq f_T(I) = \mathrm{Tr}(T)$, where $I$ refers to the identity operator in $H$. From the proof of [11, Theorem 5.6] it also turns out that

$$\varphi_N(X^*X) = \sup\{|\varphi(X^*A)|^2 \,|\, A \in \mathscr{B}_F(H), \varphi(A^*A) \leq 1\}$$

for any $X \in \mathrm{B}(H)$. Choosing $A = \mathrm{Tr}(T)^{-1/2}P$ for any projection $P$ with finite rank, we see that $\varphi(A^*A) = \mathrm{Tr}(T)^{-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}(PT) \leq 1$, whence

$$\varphi_N(I) \geq |\varphi(A)|^2 = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(PT)^2}{\mathrm{Tr}(T)}.$$

Taking supremum in $P$ on the right side gives $\varphi_N(I) \geq \mathrm{Tr}(T)$. □

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let $f$ be any positive functional on* $\mathrm{B}(H)$ *and let $\varphi$ denote its restriction to $\mathscr{B}_F(H)$.*

a) *The smallest positive (Krein-von Neumann) extension of $\varphi$ to* $\mathrm{B}(H)$ *is always normal.*
b) *If there exists a normal positive functional $g$ with $f \leq g$ then $f$ is normal too.*

*Proof.* a) Observe that the restriction of $f$ to $\mathrm{B}_2(H)$ defines a continuous linear functional on $\mathrm{B}_2(H)$ (with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$). Hence, due to the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique $S \in \mathrm{B}_2(H)$ such that

$$f(A) = (A \,|\, S)_2 = \mathrm{Tr}(S^*A), \qquad \text{for all } A \in \mathrm{B}_2(H). \tag{1.4}$$

We claim that $S \in \mathrm{B}_1(H)$. Indeed, let $E$ be an orthonormal basis in $H$ and let $F$ be any non-empty finite subset of $E$. Denoting by $P$ the orthogonal projection onto the subspace spanned by $F$ we get

$$\sum_{e\in F}(Se \,|\, e) = (P \,|\, S)_2 = f(P) \leq f(I),$$

whence our statement follows. By Lemma 1.1, the smallest positive extension $\varphi_N$ of $\varphi$ equals $f_S$ which is normal.

b) Let $g$ be a normal positive functional such that $f \leq g$. Let $T$ be a trace class operator such that $g = f_T$ and let $\varphi$, $\varphi_N$, and $S$ be the same as above. By part a), it is enough to show that $f = \varphi_N$. Since $h := f - \varphi_N$ is a positive functional because of the minimality of $\varphi_N$, it suffices to show that $h(I)=0$. We see from (1.4) that $h(A)=0$ for any finite rank operator $A$. Consequently, as $h\leq f\leq f_T$, it follows that

$$h(I)=h(I-P)\leq f_T(I-P)=\operatorname{Tr}(T)-\operatorname{Tr}(TP),$$

for any finite rank projection $P$. Taking infimum in $P$ yields then $h(I)=0$. ☐

From the preceding two lemmas we gain several simple criteria for deciding whether a given positive functional on $\mathrm{B}(H)$ is normal:

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let $f$ be any positive functional on $\mathrm{B}(H)$. The following five assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *$f$ is normal.*
(ii) *There exists a normal positive functional $g$ such that $f\leq g$.*
(iii) *$f\leq g$ holds for any positive functional $g$ that agrees with $f$ on $\mathscr{B}_F(H)$.*
(iv) *For any $X\in\mathrm{B}(H)$ satisfies*

$$f(X^*X)=\sup\{|f(X^*A)|^2\,|\,A\in\mathscr{B}_F(H),f(A^*A)\leq 1\}.$$

(v) $f(I)\leq\sup\{|f(A)|^2\,|\,A\in\mathscr{B}_F(H),f(A^*A)\leq 1\}$.

Recall now the notions of absolute continuity and singularity among positive operators due to Ando [1]. A positive operator $S\in\mathrm{B}(H)$ is called *absolutely continuous* with respect to another positive operator $T\in\mathrm{B}(H)$ (in notion $S\ll T$) if there is a monotone increasing sequence $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of positive operators such that $S_n\leq c_nT$ for some $c_n\geq 0$ (i.e., $S_n$ is $T$-dominated for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$) and $S_n\to S$ in the strong operator topology. We say that $S$ and $T$ are *singular* with respect to each other (in notion $S\perp T$) if $R\leq S$ and $R\leq T$ imply $R=0$ for any positive operator $R$. Ando's decomposition theorem ([1, Theorem 2]) asserts that any $S$ splits into a $T$-absolutely continuous part $[T]S$ and a $T$-singular part $S-[T]S$ where $[T]S$ possesses the extremal property that $R\ll T$ and $R\leq S$ imply $R\leq[T]S$.

A natural question arises here: what is the connection between the corresponding regularity and singularity concepts of trace class operators, and their induced normal states? The answer (which is given in the following theorem) plays a key role by solving the uniqueness problem.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let $S,T$ be positive trace class operators on $H$.*

a) *$S$ and $T$ are mutually singular precisely if $f_S$ and $f_T$ are.*
b) *$S$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $T$ precisely if $f_S$ is almost dominated by $f_T$.*

*Proof.* Let us prove a) first: assume that $S$ and $T$ are mutually singular, and let $g$ be any positive functional on $\mathrm{B}(H)$ such that $g\leq f_T,f_S$. Then, by Lemma 1.2, $g=f_R$ for some positive trace class operator $R$, and clearly, $R \leq S, T$. Hence $R = 0$ by singularity of $S$ and $T$, which yields $g = 0$. Conversely, if $f_T$ and $f_S$ are mutually singular and $R \in \mathrm{B}(H)$ is any positive operator with $R \leq S$ and $R \leq T$, then $R$ must be a trace class operator satisfying $f_R \leq f_S, f_T$. Consequently, $f_R = 0$ and thus $R = 0$.

Let us turn to the proof of b): assume first that $f_S$ is almost dominated by $f_T$, and consider a monotone increasing sequence $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $f_T$-dominated representable positive functionals such that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} g_n(A) = f_S(A)$ for all $A \in \mathrm{B}_2(H)$. Then, due to Lemma 1.2, $g_n = f_{S_n}$ for any $n$ with some positive operator $S_n \in \mathrm{B}_1(H)$. Clearly, $S_n \leq S_{n+1} \leq S$, and $S_n \leq c_n T_n$. Thus the $T$-absolute continuity of $S$ follows once we prove that

$$(S_n x \,|\, x) \to (Sx \,|\, x) \qquad \text{for all } x \in H. \tag{1.5}$$

To see this, let $e \in H, \|e\| = 1$, and denote by $P$ the orthogonal projection onto the one-dimensional subspace spanned by $e$. Then

$$(S_n e \,|\, e) = \mathrm{Tr}(S_n P) = f_{S_n}(P) \to f_S(P) = (Se \,|\, e),$$

which gives (1.5). Assume conversely that $S$ is $T$-absolutely continuous. Consider a monotone increasing sequence $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $T$-dominated positive operators such that $(S_n x \,|\, x) \to (Sx \,|\, x)$ for each $x \in H$. It is clear by $S_n \leq S$ that $S_n \in \mathrm{B}_1(H)$ and that $f_{S_n} \leq f_{S_{n+1}} \leq f_S$, $f_{S_n} \leq c_n f_T$. To conclude that $f_S$ is $f_T$-almost dominated it is enough to prove that

$$f_{S_n}(A) \to f_S(A) \qquad \text{for all } A \in \mathrm{B}(H). \tag{1.6}$$

With this aim, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose an orthonormal basis $E$ in $H$. Fix a finite subset $F(= F(\varepsilon))$ of $E$ and an integer $N(= N(\varepsilon, F))$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(S) - \sum_{e\in F} (Se \,|\, e) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{e\in F} ((S - S_N)e \,|\, e) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then for any integer $n$ with $n \geq N$ we infer that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(S - S_n) \leq \mathrm{Tr}(S - S_N) \leq \sum_{e\in E\setminus F} (Se \,|\, e) + \sum_{e\in F} ((S - S_N)e \,|\, e) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Hence, by inequality (1.3), $f_{S_n}(A) \to f_S(A)$ for all $A \in \mathscr{B}(H)$. □

## 2. On the uniqueness of Lebesgue decomposition of normal states

From Theorem 1.4 above we conclude immediately that the transformation $T \mapsto f_T$ between positive trace class operators and normal positive functionals preserves the Lebesgue decomposition. That is to say, $S = S_1 + S_2$ is a Lebesgue decomposition relative to $T$ if and only if $f_S = f_{S_1} + f_{S_2}$ is a Lebesgue decomposition relative to $f_T$. Moreover, considering two normal positive functionals $f, g$ on $\mathrm{B}(H)$, the $f$-regular part $g_r$ of $g$ is the image of $[T]S$ under that map, where $f = f_T$ and $g = f_S$:

$$g_r = f_{[T]S}.$$

Hence, as a straightforward consequence of [1, Theorem 6] we can establish the following uniqueness result.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let $f, g$ be normal positive functionals on $\mathrm{B}(H)$ with representing operators $T$ and $S$, respectively. The Lebesgue decomposition of $g$ relative to $f$ is unique precisely if $g_r \leq cf$, or equivalently, if $[T]S \leq cT$ for some $c > 0$.*

However, it is not clear from the preceding corollary whether the Lebesgue decomposition among normal positive functionals is unique. In Theorem 2.3 below we are going to provide a complete answer to this problem. But first we make a short observation to be used mainly in the proof of the main result:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Given a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1$ of positive numbers, there is another sequence $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1$ of positive numbers such that $(\mu_n/\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \notin \ell^\infty$.*

*Proof.* Assume indirectly that there is no $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with the prescribed properties. It follows then that for any $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\ell^1$ we have $(x_n/\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty$, or in other words the one-to-one continuous mapping $A : \ell^\infty \to \ell^1, (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\lambda_n x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is onto, and hence a linear homeomorphism thanks to the Banach open mapping theorem. But this is impossible (as $\ell^1$ is separable and $\ell^\infty$ is not). ☐

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let $f$ be a normal positive functional on $\mathrm{B}(H)$ and let $T \in \mathrm{B}_1(H)$ stand for its representing operator.*

a) *If $T$ has finite rank then any normal positive functional $g$ possesses a unique $f$-Lebesgue decomposition.*
b) *If $T$ has infinite rank then there exists a normal positive functional $g$ such that the $f$-Lebesgue decomposition of $g$ is not unique.*

*Proof.* a) Suppose $f$ is a normal state on $\mathrm{B}(H)$ with finite rank representing operator $T \in \mathrm{B}_1(H)$. Then there exist a finite orthonormal system $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ positive numbers such that

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k (x \,|\, e_k) e_k, \qquad \text{for all } x \in H. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $g$ be any normal state with representing operator $S \in \mathrm{B}_1(H)$ and consider the $T$-Lebesgue decomposition $S = [T]S + (S - [T]S)$ according to Ando [1]. Here, the $T$-closable part $[T]S$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{ran}([T]S)^{1/2} \subseteq \ker([T]S)^{\perp} \subseteq \ker T^{\perp} = \operatorname{ran} T^{1/2},$$

whence we infer that $[T]S \leq cT$ with appropriate $c \geq 0$. By Ando's uniqueness theorem ([1, Theorem 3]), the $T$-Lebesgue decomposition of $S$ is unique. In the view of Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.4, the $f$-Lebesgue decomposition of $g$ must be unique as well.
b) Consider now a normal state $f$ with infinite rank representing operator $T \in \mathrm{B}_1(H)$. By the Hilbert–Schmidt theory of compact selfadjoint operators there exists an orthonormal sequence $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $H$ and a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\ell^1$ such that

$$Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (x \,|\, e_n) e_n, \qquad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

Choose a sequence $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1$ of positive numbers such that $(\mu_n/\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \notin \ell^\infty$ and consider $S \in \mathrm{B}_1(H)$ defined by

$$Sx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n (x \,|\, e_n) e_n, \qquad x \in H.$$

An easy calculation shows that the operator sequence $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$,

$$S_n x = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k (x \,|\, e_k) e_k, \qquad x \in H,$$

fulfills

$$0 \leq S_n \leq S_{n+1}, \qquad \|S_n - S\| \to 0, \qquad S_n \leq c_n T, \tag{2.2}$$

for each integer $n$ and for suitable $c_n \geq 0$. Hence, $S = [T]S$. Observe that $\mu_n \leq c_n \lambda_n$ holds for each $n$, hence $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is necessarily unbounded. Hence, although $S$ is $T$-closable due to (2.2), it cannot be $T$-dominated. Consequently, the $T$-Lebesgue decomposition of $S$ is not unique by Ando's result [1, Theorem 6]. Thus the $f$-Lebesgue decomposition of $g := f_S$ also fails to be unique due to Theorem 1.4. □

*Remark* 2.4. The commutative Gelfand–Naimark theorem and the Riesz representation theorem jointly show that the Lebesgue decomposition on a commutative $C^*$-algebra is always unique, see [13]. One might therefore expect that non-commutativity is responsible for the absence of uniqueness. But this is not the case: Theorem 2.3 a) says in particular that the Lebesgue decomposition on $\mathrm{B}(H)$ is necessarily unique if $H$ is finite dimensional. So it would be nice to know which property of the underlying algebra actually results uniqueness and which one causes non-uniqueness for the decomposition.

## References

[1] T. Ando, Lebesgue-type decomposition of positive operators, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **38** (1976), 253–260.

[2] H. Araki, Bures distance function and a generalization of Sakais non-commutative Radon-Nikodym theorem, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University*, **8** (1972), 335-362.

[3] S. P. Gudder, A Radon-Nikodym theorem for $^*$-algebras, *Pacific J. of Math.* **80** (1979), 141–149.

[4] S. Hassi, Z. Sebestyén, and H. de Snoo, Lebesgue type decompositions for nonnegative forms, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **257** (2009), 3858–3894.

[5] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras I.*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.

[6] H. Kosaki, Lebesgue decomposition of states on a von Neumann algebra, *American Journal of Math.*, **107** (1985), 697–735.

[7] G. J. Murphy, *$C^*$-algebras and operator theory*, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.

[8] T. W. Palmer, *Banach Algebras and the General Theory of $^*$-Algebras II*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[9] G. K. Pedersen, *Analysis now*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1989.

[10] S. Sakai, A RadonNikodym theorem in W*-algebras, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **71**, (1965) 149–151.

[11] Z. Sebestyén, Zs. Szűcs, and Zs. Tarcsay, Extensions of positive operators and functionals, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **472** (2015), 54–80.

[12] Zs. Szűcs, The Lebesgue decomposition of representable forms over algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **70** (2013), 3-31.

[13] Zs. Szűcs, Absolute continuity of positive linear functionals, *Banach J. of Math. Anal.*, **66** (2015), 201–247.

[14] Zs. Tarcsay, Radon–Nikodym theorems for nonnegative forms, measures and representable functionals, *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, online first; DOI: 10.1007/s11785-014-0437-4;

[15] Zs. Tarcsay, Lebesgue decomposition for representable functionals on $^*$-algebras, *Glasgow Math. Journal*, online first; DOI: 10.1017/S0017089515000300

[16] Zs. Tarcsay, On the parallel sum of positive operators, forms, and functionals, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **147** (2015), 408–426.

Zoltán Sebestyén
Department of Applied Analysis
Eötvös L. University
Pázmány Péter sétány 1/c.
Budapest H-1117
Hungary sebesty@cs.elte.hu Zsigmond Tarcsay
Department of Applied Analysis
Eötvös L. University
Pázmány Péter sétány 1/c.

Budapest H-1117
Hungary tarcsay@cs.elte.hu
Tamás Titkos
Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
Hungarian Academy of Sciences
Reáltanoda u. 13-15.
Budapest H-1053
Hungary titkos.tamas@renyi.mta.hu

Department of Applied Analysis, Eötvös L. University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/c., Budapest H-1117, Hungary
*E-mail address*: `tarcsay@cs.elte.hu`

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Reáltanoda u. 13-15., Budapest H-1053, Hungary
*E-mail address*: `titkos.tamas@renyi.mta.hu`